

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

| |
|-------------------------------------|
| As of: March 18, 2011 |
| Received: March 17, 2011 |
| Status: Pending_Post |
| Tracking No. 80c0ad48 |
| Comments Due: March 18, 2011 |
| Submission Type: Web |

Docket: BOEM-2010-0045

AD71 Renewable Energy Alternate Uses of Existing Facilities on the Outer Continental Shelf - Acquire a Lease Noncompetitively

Comment On: BOEM-2010-0045-0011

Renewable Energy Alternate Uses of Existing Facilities on Outer Continental Shelf: Acquire Lease Noncompetitively

Document: BOEM-2010-0045-DRAFT-0041

Comment from Marguerite Nauss, self

Submitter Information

Name: Marguerite Nauss

Address:

P O Box 493

Hyannis Port, MA, 02647

Email: megnauss@aol.com

Organization: self

General Comment

Dear BOEMRE,

My husband and I are commenting on the proposal to revise regulations for non-competitive acquisition of an Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) renewable energy lease.

The Cape Wind project is an example of putting a renewable energy proposal ahead without comprehensive study for cost impact.

I firmly believe this project is not based on sound principals of cost effective development but rather is being pushed by proponents. "At any cost" is not a sound criteria to use to get to alternative energy use. When renewal energy is cost effective, then yes, it is the way to go.

The competitive bidding process is called for in this situation. A lease should not be granted without it. Please reject this rule.

Sincerely,

Marguerite Nauss
