

September 10, 2009



Department of the Interior  
Minerals Management Service (MS 4024)  
Attn: Rules Processing Team (Comments)  
381 Elden Street  
Herndon, VA 20170-4817

Re: RIN 1010-AD 15; SEMS  
FR Vol. 74, No. 115 6-17-09

Ladies and Gentlemen:

**Woodside Energy (USA)** appreciates this opportunity to provide written comments on the subject proposed rule to amend regulations associated with Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas and other mineral operations as published in the June 17, 2009 Federal Register.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** confirms that MMS has conducted a significant review of the OCS safety issues and has determined that a mandatory SEMS program is necessary and using plain language has developed the rule to address concerns that the agency has determined to exist. Woodside Energy (USA) however does not reach the same conclusion given the actual safety record of the OCS when compared to other similar industries engaged in oil and gas exploration and production on land operations.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** appreciates that MMS wrote the proposed rule with the expectation that the rule would address major concerns that the agency has in OCS safety, however **Woodside Energy (USA)** notes that the prescriptive rule will not specifically address root causes and will in all likelihood fail to achieve the benefits that the agency believes will occur.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** notes that unlike recent rule making efforts, this effort clearly attempts to more rigidly prescribe new reporting, documentation and record keeping requirements far above current levels and will do little to address the human behavior issues raised by the MMS review. This proposed action is a paperwork-intensive, rulemaking that will negatively impact our business, both operationally and financially, and will bring little or no benefit towards improving safety of our offshore operations. In addition to the unnecessary burden to

industry, it will create a burden to regional MMS staff that will require additional inspector/auditor training and increased workload.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** endorses the comments that have been filed on behalf of industry by the Offshore Operators Committee (OOC) and the American Petroleum Institute (API). Additionally, Woodside Energy (USA) has the following comments:

- 1) The US offshore industry has an excellent safety record; while continuous improvement is needed, this course of action is not justified.
- 2) The MMS opinion that the “root cause analysis” points to the need for requiring the four proposed SEMP elements is not supported by the agencies incident analysis.
- 3) The job safety analysis/job hazard analysis is the only significant portion of the proposed rule that could affect the behavioral change that is more appropriately identified as the root cause of the incidents reviewed.
- 4) We strongly disagree that a mandated program (as proposed) is needed. The majority of the handful of comments that were received on the ANPRM in support of a MMS regulatory action came from organizations that do not operate on the US OCS. Further, the foreign government agencies that commented in support do not have mandated programs such as the one being proposed, yet were given equal weight to those organizations that represent members produce over 90% of the offshore oil and natural gas on the OCS.
- 5) MMS should rescind the proposed rule and re-evaluate the cost/benefit of mandating a program that, as recently as 2003, was determined by the agency to be performing well as a voluntary program.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** believes the proposed rule is broadly targeted at three critical areas: safety, reliability, and environmental. **Woodside Energy (USA)** agrees these areas are important to the industry, customers, general public, and regulators. With this in mind, **Woodside Energy (USA)** would like to know specifically where MMS believes the industry is falling short of expectations in these areas and why the MMS has not shared this information in the rule making.

The comment period allocated for industry’s response to such a significant formal rule making did not allow **Woodside Energy (USA)** to develop detailed comments on the various parts of the rule making and it is recommended that further discussions with industry be carried out prior to any final rule making on the issue.

**Woodside Energy (USA)** has noted that the new rule defines a larger more active role by the MMS in operations activities and a significant upturn on the amount and technical detail of information that would be required to be developed, recorded, reported and stored without a strong driver for the additional information. Woodside Energy (USA) is concerned that this new role will have a negative impact o critical cycle times in the ongoing development of the OCS.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 985-727-5949.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bill Bomar", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Bill Bomar

Manager HSE&R  
Woodside Energy (USA)  
830 West Causeway Approach Suite 1000  
Mandeville, LA 70471